

---

Meeting	Decision Session - Executive Member for Transport
Date	19 March 2020
Present	Councillor D'Agorne

---

### **63. Declarations of Interest**

The Executive Member was asked to declare, at this point in the meeting, any personal interests, not included on the Register of Interests, or any prejudicial or disclosable pecuniary interests that he might have had in respect of business on the agenda.

The Executive Member declared a non-prejudicial interest in agenda item 9, iTravel York progress report and programme 2020/21, in that he was a Sustrans volunteer. He confirmed that he was not involved in making decisions on behalf of the organisation.

### **64. Minutes**

The Executive Member requested that it be made clear at Minute 62 of the previous meeting held on 20 February that the Executive Member taking the decision on that item was Cllr Waller. Subject to this amendment it was therefore:

Resolved: That the minutes of the Decision Session of the Executive Member for Transport and Planning held on 20 February 2020 be approved and signed by the Executive Member as a correct record.

### **65. Public Participation**

It was reported that there had been three registrations to speak at the meeting under the Council's Public Participation Scheme and two written representations had been received.

Due to Government's advice to the public to stay at home in relation to Coronavirus COVID – 19, the Executive Member read aloud each of the representations, summarised below.

A representation was received from Cllr Fenton, Ward Member for Dringhouses & Woodthorpe as follows:

- Agenda item 4, Economy & Place Capital Programme 2020/21 Budget Report - He was pleased to note the commitment to fund schemes to support use of sustainable transport and urged that further consideration for improvements or repairs of potholes be given when considering the arrangements for reactive highway repair works in 2020/21; as the poor state of roads discouraged people from cycling.
- ResPark Schemes - He welcomed the additional resource that had been identified to process ResPark schemes swiftly and looked forward to receiving confirmation that the proposed ResPark scheme for the 'Revival' estate would go forward for a formal ballot. A residents' petition had been received in June 2019 which had demonstrated strong support for restrictions to tackle inconsiderate and often dangerous parking on the estate by large numbers of York College students.

#### Agenda item 5, ... Residents Priority Parking scheme for Clifton Dale and Clifton Green

A representation had been received from Mrs. J Finlayin, local resident to Clifton Dale for over 20 years. She considered that the parking situation for residents had rapidly deteriorated for a number of reasons which had included: Brownfield development around Clifton Green, (ii) The conversion of terraced properties, in streets close to Clifton Dale with no / insufficient off-street parking, for the number of cars owned. (iii) The increase in ResPark schemes in the Clifton Green area leaving Clifton Dale as one of the few streets with unrestricted on street parking. The result of which had been a great increase in parking by residents of other streets and also short and long stay 'casual' parking. She welcomed the proposals in the officers report, along with 62% of all properties in the area who had voted in support of this scheme.

#### Agenda item 6, Traffic Regulation order for Double yellow lines on Gray Street

A representation was received from Ms Emma Peacock, local resident to Gray Street, who considered that the parking on Gray Street was inadequate for the amount of cars on the

street. She considered that removing parking at the top of Gray Street would exacerbate the situation.

A representation was also received from Mr Stephen Galloway, local resident to Gray Street in objection to any further reduction in parking spaces on Gray Street. He considered that there were already too few spaces for all residents' cars. He considered that if double yellow lines were to be implemented, that they would need to be policed. He requested that consideration be given to implementing a change to 24/7 parking restrictions for non-residents as per some roads of Bishopthorpe Road, such as Cameron Grove and that there be more policing by traffic wardens as residents who had paid for a residents' parking permit had continually witnessed restrictions being flaunted.

## **66. Economy & Place Capital Programme – 2020/21 Budget Report**

The Executive Member considered a report which set out the Economy and Place Transport Capital Programme for 2020/21, as agreed at Budget Council on 27 February 2020, and provided further details of the Local Transport Plan funding allocations.

The Head of Transport reported that the Council had been awarded in the budget £77m funding in relation to the York Central development and £14.5m from the Transforming Cities Fund primarily for the Station Frontage Scheme.

Resolved: The Executive Member:

- (i) Approved the proposed programme of schemes for 2020/21;
- (ii) with the proviso that there would be further review and discussion regarding Holtby Lane, in due course. Officers will be reviewing the information received by Ward Member Cllr Waters and the Parish Council and providing a more detailed response in their consolidation report which would usually be received at this Decision Session in July (subject to change in the current climate).

Reason: To implement the council's transport strategy identified in York's third Local Transport Plan and

the Council Priorities, and deliver schemes identified in the council's Transport Programme.

**67. Consideration of representations received to the advertised Residents Priority Parking scheme for Clifton Dale and Clifton Green**

The Executive Member considered the formal representations made to a recently advertised Traffic Regulation Order to implement a new residents priority parking scheme to include Clifton Dale and Clifton Green (part).

The options available were:

1. Option 1 – To implement the proposed resident's priority parking scheme as advertised. This is the recommended option because it is in line with what the majority of local residents have previously requested.
2. Option 2 – To drop the proposals and take no further action.
3. Option 3 – To place the proposed new scheme on hold and re consult once the hotel development has been completed and residents established.

In considering this item, the Executive Member highlighted:

- That he had discussed with the relevant Ward Members the difficulty in relation to the crossing at the junction at Water End.
- Regarding the junction at Compton Street / Clifton Green, A199 turn off to one way road, area for large vehicles - there was concern that other vehicles were entering that junction at high speed. The Executive Member would be consulting with the relevant Ward Members regarding this, at the point of implementation.

Resolved: That Option 1 be agreed, to overturn the objections received and implement the scheme on Clifton Dale and Clifton Green as advertised – to introduce a 24 hour Community Residents Priority Parking area (to be known as R65C) including a 24hour marked bay on Clifton Green incorporating a 60minute wait for non-permit holders.

Reason: To provide the improved parking provision for residents in line with what the majority have indicated they would like.

**68. Consideration of an objection received to the advertised Traffic Regulation order for Double yellow lines on Gray Street**

The Executive Member considered the formal representation made to a recently advertised Traffic Regulation Order to convert an existing section of single yellow line to double yellow lines to facilitate access and pedestrian movement.

Resolved: That Option 1 be agreed, to overturn the objection received and implement the amended restrictions as advertised – NW24 (no waiting at any time).

Reason: To facilitate access requirements and to provide the improved pedestrian footpath movement provision for local residents.

**69. Yearsley Crescent Residents Parking Petition**

The Executive Member considered a petition requesting a residents parking scheme for Yearsley Crescent and determine what action is appropriate.

Resolved: That Option 2 be approved, to include the addition of this street to the residents parking waiting list.

Reason: Because this will respond to the residents' concerns and can be progressed depending on funding available each year.

**70. TSAR Traffic Signal Refurbishment – Hull Road/Lilac Avenue**

The Executive Member considered a report outlining proposed alterations to the traffic signalling equipment at this site which have become life expired.

Regarding Lilac Avenue, the Executive Member requested that further consideration be given to the cycling route and the point at which the cyclist joins/exits the road, so that cars are prevented from blocking these areas.

Resolved: To approve Option 1, the proposed crossing refurbishment shown in drawing Annex B.

Reason: This option achieves the core aim of replacing the life-expired traffic signal asset such that it can continue be operated and repaired economically. It also provides improvements to cycling and walking infrastructure.

## **71. iTravel York progress report and programme 2020/21**

The Executive Member considered an update report following confirmation of Access Fund from the Department for Transport for the 20/21 financial year. It detailed the iTravel Programme of work to tackle congestion through promoting behaviour change towards sustainable travel options.

The Executive Member noted that the participation events scheduled, as listed at paragraph 7.5, may need to be reviewed in light of recent measures put in place in relation to Coronavirus.

Resolved: That the Executive Member notes the 20/21 iTravel Programme.

Reason: To endorse the proposed approach to delivery for 2020/21 in support of the council plan outcome of enabling more residents to get around sustainably.

Cllr A D'Agorne, Executive Member for Transport  
[The meeting started at 2.00 pm and finished at 2.40 pm].